JEWISH GEOGRAPHY



## Where ישמעאל' Fell'



## By Rabbi Dov Kramer

Although the bulk of the parsha is about Eliezer finding a wife for Yitzchok, it ends by telling us where the descendants of Yishmael

lived, מחוילה עד שור אשר על פני מצרים באכה אשורה (Bereishis 25:18). Assyria/שוא doesn't seem to fit, as it's very far away from שור and שורים. Before trying to figure out the distance issue, let's look at the location—and context—of the four places mentioned.

מצרים is Egypt, which we know is on the northeastern corner of Africa. ארץ ישראל, parallel to קדש (see Bereishis 20:1), and although it's unclear exactly where שדק is, or how many there were, it was definitely east of ארץ שור מג ארר ליג. Which is why it's מור מני מצרים צרים (facing Egypt), between

Egypt and Israel. [This is one of the ways we know קריעת ים סוף was through the Gulf of Suez, as afterwards we entered מדבר שור (Shemos 15:22).] אשור (Shemos 15:22). gris River, in what is now northern Iraq.

אוילה was previously mentioned three times in Bereishis: it is a marker for one of the four rivers that came out of Gan Eden (2:11); הוילה one of the sons of Kush (10:7), making him a grandson of Cham; and הוילה is one of the sons of Yuktun (10:29), making him a great-great-grandson of Shem. The חוילה near Gan Eden is Shem's (see Ramban and Bechor Shor), and אשור is on one of the other four rivers, but since המצרים , and another of Cham's sons, this מצרים could be either.

The Cham-based חוילה would be south of Kush; if this was the בני ישמעאל lived, they "fell" from the eastern part of central Africa, up the African coast through Egypt to אשור, then all the way east (and north) אשור. That's a lot of ground to cover, and we have no (other) indication that שמעאי extended into Africa. More importantly, since אשמעאי "fell" על פני כל אחיו (Bereishis 25:18; also see 16:12), i.e. near the sons of Keturah (and Yitzchok)—and they were not in Africa—this חוילה is disqualified.

The Shem-based הוילה was likely in the northern part of the Arabian Peninsula, since Yuktun's other sons settled in Arabia. Additionally, Shaul smote Amalek "מחוילה בואך שור אשר על פני מצרים" (Shmuel I 15:7), and Amalek lived south of ארץ ישראל, so this הוילה must be just east of הר שעיר. If it was much farther east, between הוילה would be more distant than between הוילה and אשור, with north of הוילה. Besides, the order is backwards. Shouldn't the כסוק have said "from דוילה to הוילה (i.e. west to east  $\rightarrow$ ) before mentioning אשור, which is north (^) of הוילה, rather than "from הוילה to שור"? Why go east to west ( $\leftarrow$ ) and then northeast ( $\uparrow$ )? Did the  $\Box$ ישמעאל take over all the land within that triangle? Did they "fall" on two distinct lines starting from שור, one going east to חוילה and the other going northeast to אשור?

Keturah's sons were sent "to the east" (25:6), which likely meant either northern Arabia or the eastern part of Jordan/Syria (or both). Either way, it's not near אשורה, and אשורה "fell" in front of them. Therefore, including "באכה אשורה" in the description seems problematic.

Because אשור is so far away, some (e.g. הכתב והקבלה) suggest that there must have been a different אשור much closer. Atlas Daat Mikra, discussing Keturah's great-grandson 25:3) אשורם, suggests that this was the אשורם (אשורם) איש אישורם איש לובשת that joined our enemies (Tehillim 83:9) and the אשורי that שיש רשת that איש בשת that ional commentators understand the אשור Tehillim to be the Assyrian kingdom, and the אשורי that שיש ruled over to be the Tribe of Asher. Besides, it would be very strange for the Torah to use אשורי as a location reference if it didn't mean the well-known אשורי

The Daat Mikra Bible Atlas explains our verse this way: "The Bible does not cite any particular country named for Ishmael, because all of his descendants are among the 'tent dwellers' (Judges 8:11) who lived as nomads in the vast deserts east of Eretz Yisrael, stretching as far as the Euphrates (the land of the Kedemites, the Syrian Desert), and south of it, toward Egypt and the Arabian Peninsula: "They camped from Havilah to Shur, which is east of Egypt, all the way to Ashur." I appreciate how they obfuscated the issue of the order of the place-names by making it seem as if "east of Egypt" refers to both Havilah and Shur (and translating "facing" as "east of"), but I don't think that's what the Torah meant. And although the Euphrates is relatively close to the Tigris, at אשור May Tener States and the States an

In my opinion, the key is the word "האכה". Does it mean "when you arrive at" the location referenced, or "as you approach" (i.e. in the direction of) the location mentioned? If it's the latter, the distance from אישור סד חוילה isn't as big of an issue. It would be like traveling east on Route 4 in North Jersey; the signs will say New York, even if your destination is west of the Hudson River (or past New York City). Traveling west on I-80 is still towards the Delaware Water Gap whether you are going to Parsippany or Cleveland. Nevertheless, the distance is a bit curious, and the place-names seem out of order.

Nomads do not have a permanent home. They travel from place to place based on where they can pasture their flocks. As the בני ישמעאל multiplied, there were no cities to build up or expand. Instead, they had to spread out. It was this spreading that the Torah is trying to convey. Their tents stretched from הוילה to שור, but kept expanding eastward and northward. Which direction were they spreading? In the direction of אישור. Not that they reached that far, but were heading in that direction, with no definitive end point. By switching the order of the place names, the Torah is telling us that they originally "fell" from הוילה to , giving us the locations east to west before telling us the direction of their expansion, towards the east and the north.

Rabbi Dov Kramer looks forward to the fulfillment of the Yalkut Shimoni's explanation of אישמעאל's name (45): "Why was he called ישמעאל' Because in the future G-d will listen to the cries of the nation from what the בבי ישמעאל do to them in the end-days." May He answer our cries soon.

TORAH TIDBITS Civilization Depends On Just Thinking

## **B**

In last week's read-

ing, we witnessed Avimelech who put forth supposed "logical" arguments towards God as to why

he was treated unjustly by taking Sarah. After all, he claims, how can he be faulted for taking her when he thought she wasn't Avraham's wife. He keeps pressing the argument.

Finally Avraham says to him that all of his claims are worthless because there is no fear of God in this place.

What was Avraham saying? In essence, Avimelech was trying to justify an argument that was based in moral corruption. Any civilization that feels the first question to a man is whether the woman he is with is his wife has demonstrated how corrupt it is. In this society, God didn't exist as the arguments were mere tools to continue in false ways.

This gives a glimpse into the greatness of Avraham, for when he tries to sacrifice Yitzchak and is held back, God testifies how now He knows Avraham has fear of God. The fear that God expected from Avraham was whether he would go against everything he stood for. However for society, at the very least, a basic moral clarity is needed.

Dovid HaMelech took 70 years from Adam and in Tehillim he says how God looks down on man from up high and looks to see if he will make decisions based on his *sechel*, his thinking mind. The message is that Adam went against a basic law as a servant of God, failing to apply his thought process as to whether it was worth defying God's order. Dovid, as a tikkun, is telling man to think before he acts in order to function with a moral compass.

The story is told of Rav Hutner that in Germany when Hitler was rising, the students got into a debate as to whether Germany was a society of culture and refinement or not.

One student brought a proof by the fact that after a German would give directions to someone, the German would ask the person, if the directions he just gave the person were correct. This was a nonsensical question since the person couldn't confirm if it was right as they just asked for it. One student argued that this shows the refinement of the Germans whereas Rav Hutner said all of their thinking counters human behavior.

Many years later, that student came to Rav Hutner. He asked Rav Hutner if he remembered him, which Rav Hutner affirmed and put out his hand to greet him. This man had no arm with which to return the greeting. He told Rav Hutner that he was right about the Germans. He said he was captured and as one of the Germans was sawing off his arm, he said to the student, "This hurts, is that correct?" Such a society had no fear of God.

At a minimum we can claim that we have moral clarity. We don't engage in arguments to justify unjustifiable behavior. We are thinking people. And therefore, God can look at us and say that this is a nation that has arisen above Adam, as fear of God is central to our core.

Steven Genack is the founder and editor of Aish Haolam.



201-371-3212 • WWW.JEWISHLINK.NEWS